Exhibit 1

SU" WONS (CITAC, _ . JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

PLAYPHONE, INC., a Delaware corporation; AT&T MOBILITY, ILC, an entity form and origin; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

WILLIAM D. PETTERSEN, on behalf of himself, a class of persons similarly situated, and the general public.

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO: PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

Glerk of the Superior Coun

APR - 3 2013

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days, Read the Information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff, A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can tocate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lier must be paid before the court will dismiss the case, ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días; la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formularlo que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, as posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), én el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniándose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por limponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitreje en un caso de derecho civit. Tierte que pager el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Hall of Justice 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

CASE NUMBER: Mornours del Caso) 37-2013-00042517-CU-BT-CTL

JUN 27 2013

Clerk, b (Secreta

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Stephen B. Morris

Suite 300

444 West C Street, San Diego, CA 92101

DATE

(Fecha)

(619) 239-1300

Morris & Associates

SCHAEFFER

Deputy

(Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formularlo Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-6/10)).

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

as an individual defendant.

as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

y ILLC, An Entity form and

on behalf of (specify):

CCP 416.10 (corporation)

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

other (specify): by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 (Rev. July 1, 2009) ESENTIAL FORMS' Martin Dean's

Stephen B. Morris (SBN 126192) q. MORRIS and ASSOCIATES APR 3'13PH 4'04 444 West C Street, Suite 300 2 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 239-1300 3 Fax: (619) 234-3672 APR - 3 2013 morris@sandiegolegal.com 4 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 9 10 WILLIAM D. PETTERSEN, on behalf of CASE NO. 37-2013-00042517-CU-BT-CTL 11 himself, a class of persons similarly situated, and the general public, UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 12 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: Plaintiff. 13 UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES l. 14 [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.] PLAYPHONE, INC., a Delaware 15 corporation; AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, an 2. VIOLATION OF THE CONSUMER entity form and origin; and DOES 1 to LEGAL REMEDIES ACT 16 100, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, a class of persons similarly situated, and the general public 20 alleges as follows: 21 CAPACITY, JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 Plaintiff WILLIAM D. PETTERSEN (hereafter "Plaintiff PETTERSEN"), is an 1. 23 individual, over the age of eighteen, who at all times pertinent was a resident of the County of San 24 Diego, California. 25 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, that at all times herein 26 pertinent, Defendant AT&T Mobility LLC, (hereafter "AT&T") is an entity headquartered in Atlanta, 27 Georgia. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges PLAYPHONE, INC. (hereafter 28 "PLAYPHONE"), is a corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware. Pettersen v. Playphone et al Complaint

Street

- 3. Jurisdiction and venue are proper within this judicial district for the reasons that the transaction which gave rise to this action occurred within this judicial district; and the monetary and injunctive relief sought herein are within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- DOES 1 through 100, are and at all relevant times hereto were, corporations and/or business entities organized and existing under the laws of and/or qualified to do business in California.
- 5. Any and all Defendants, including DOES 1 to 100, were individuals who were the agents, employees and/or joint venturers of the corporate defendants, and were at all times herein mentioned acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment and/or joint venture with the advance knowledge, acquiescence or subsequent ratification of each and every remaining Defendant. Defendants and the DOE Defendants were co-conspirators, who knowingly aided and abetted the wrongful acts of each other. Each Defendant, including Defendants, is therefore liable for the wrongful acts and omissions of each and every other Defendant.
- 6. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants Does 1 through 100, and therefore sues them by those fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on the basis of that information and belief alleges, that each of those Defendants were in some manner responsible for the events and happenings alleged in this complaint and for the injuries and damages of Plaintiff, all others similarly situated and the general public.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff PETTERSEN refers to Paragraphs 1 through 6 above, and incorporates said paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 8. This action is filed on behalf of Plaintiff PETTERSEN, individually, and on behalf of all members of the class as defined herein below, under the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure section 382, which provides that a class action may be brought when the question is one of common interest to many persons, or when the number of persons is so numerous that it is impracticable to bring them all before the Court. This action is also brought pursuant to Civil Code section 1750 et seq. Thus, and for reasons set out more thoroughly below, this action is properly filed and maintained as a class action.

///

- 9. Plaintiff PETTERSEN brings this action to remedy the ongoing unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices alleged herein, and to seek legal redress on behalf of himself and on behalf of all those persons similarly situated who have been affected thereby.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, that Defendant PLAYPHONE is a business entity which generates income through the sale and operation of mobile phone gaming.
- 11. At all times herein pertinent, Plaintiff was the owner of a cell phone, whose carrier's services were provided by AT&T. Commencing sometime in or about November, 2012, Plaintiff began receiving a monthly text notice, ostensibly generated by AT&T, indicating that a supposed subscription to PLAYPHONE was being automatically renewed, at a cost of \$12.99 per month.
- 12. As Plaintiff had no knowledge that he had ever subscribed to any services through PLAYPHONE, Plaintiff responded to the above-referenced text messages, indicating, emphatically, that he wished to be unsubscribed. Regardless, the monthly charge were assessed to Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges thereon, that most, if not all, of the revenue generated by this practice was received by PLAYPHONE. At no time whatsoever did Plaintiff subscribe, or intend to subscribe, to any services offered by PLAYPHONE, or any other "DirectBill" provider and thus, did not agree to pay monies to PLAYPHONE or to AT&T.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that these forms of "Directbill charges", i.e. charges for subscriptions billed by AT&T, have been facilitated by AT&T and have allowed a host of companies such as World, M cubed, Bidwin 20Bids/day&Ringtones, and Playphone to bill to AT&T customers, without customers' knowledge or consent, for mobile subscriptions directly through their AT&T bills.

THE CLASS

- 15. Plaintiff PETTERSEN refers to Paragraphs 1 through 13 above, and incorporates said paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. The class members in this case include all California residents who within the past four years did not directly and clearly elect in accepting a mobile subscription charge through a "DirectBill" AT&T service by knowingly choosing to accept this charge by confirming with a separate, elective

action that resulted in enrolling in the subscription.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq)

- 17. Plaintiff refers to paragraphs 1 through 15, above, and incorporates said paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that by means unknown to plaintiff, defendant(s) targeted plaintiff and the class members and began billing them through AT&T and with the consent and cooperation of AT&T for services not requested by them and that, as a result, plaintiff and the class paid monies to defendant(s) in error and as the result of unfair business practices.
- 19. Defendants' practice of targeting unwary consumers like plaintiff and the class is unlawful, deceptive and/or unfair and violates Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq. This practice is ongoing and has likely resulted in millions of dollars being unlawfully collected by AT&T and channeled through to unscrupulous billing entities who have utilized customer phone numbers for the purpose of billing for services not requested.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act)

- 20. Plaintiff refers to paragraphs 1 through 19, above, and incorporates said paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. By facilitating the billing of unrequested mobile subscriptions in the face of clear knowledge that its customers were being billed for unsolicited and unrequested products and or services AT&T violated the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act (CLRA).
- 22. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin the ongoing practice by AT&T of facilitating and supporting the unlawful, deceptive and fraudulent billing described herein.
- 23. Plaintiff shall amend his complaint at such time as is allowed by law-to allege actual damages for violation of the CLRA.

26 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

28 ///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff WILLIAM D. PETTERSEN prays judgment as follows: AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 3 1. For disgorgement by Defendants of all amounts that have been obtained in connection 4 with any of the deceptive, unfair and/or unlawful business practices alleged herein; 5 2. For restitution to all Class members according to proof; 6 3. For attorney fees; 7 For prejudgment interest as allowed by law; 4. 8 5. For costs of suit incurred herein; and 9 6. 10 For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. 11 AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION For injunctive relief as prayed for herein. 12 13 14 March 29, 2013 15 Dated: MORRIS AND ASSOCIATES 16 17 By: 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Pettersen v. Playphone et al

Complaint

	CM-010
Attorney or Party without attor me, State Bar number, and address): Stephen B. Morri 126192 Morris & Associates 444 West C Street, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92101 TELEPHONE NO. (619) 239-1300 FAXNO. (619) 374-7082 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego STREET ADDRESS 330 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE San Diego, CA 92101 BRANCH NAME Central CASE NAME: Pettersen V. Playphone, Inc., et al.	APR - 3 2013
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) Complex Case Designation Counter Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3,402)	CASE NUMBER: 37-2013-00042517-CU-BT-CTL #IDGE DEPT.
Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions of	n page 2).
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Product liability (24) Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Susiness tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15) This case Is X is not complex under rule 3:400 of the California Rufactors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties Large number of separately represented parties A set Coordination with the California Rufactors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties Large number of separately represented parties Coordination with the California Rufactors requiring exceptions practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with the California Rufactors requiring exceptions practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with the California Rufactors requiring exceptions practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with the California Rufactors requiring exceptions practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with the California Rufactors requiring exceptions practice raising difficult or novel	f witnesses h related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties	s, states, or countries, or in a federal count judgment judicial supervision tratory or injunctive relief c. punitive violation of the CLRA
Date: March 29, 2013	A
Stephen B. Morris, Esq. Sephen (SIGNA)	TURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you mother parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet 	ust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Fallure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time for contribution of a case as a rule 3.740 collections. time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3,740.

To Parties in Complex Cases, in complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in Items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured

motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item Instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/

Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or
toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PVPD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-Pt/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Maipractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller
Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.

Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of

Abstract of Judgment (control County)
Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
Sister State Judgment
Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Llan

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief from Late

Other Civil Petition

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DI	EGO .		FOR COURT USE ONLY	
STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway				
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway				
CITY, STATE, & ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827				
BRANCH NAME: Central				
PLAINTIFF(S): William D Pettersen				
DEFENDANT(S): Playphone Inc et.al.				
SHORT TITLE: PETTERSEN VS. PLAYPHONE INC				
STIPULATION TO USE ALTER DISPUTE RESOLUTION (A			CASE NUMBER: 37-2013-00042517-CU-BT-CTL	
Judge: Richard E. L. Strauss		Departmen	nt: C-75	
The parties and their attorneys stipulate that the matter alternative dispute resolution (ADR) process. Selection	is at issue and the of any of these op	claims in this actions will not del	ction shall be submitted to the following ay any case management timelines.	
Mediation (court-connected)	Non-binding	private arbitration		
Mediation (private)	Binding priva	Binding private arbitration		
Voluntary settlement conference (private)	Non-binding	Non-binding judicial arbitration (discovery until 15 days before trial)		
Neutral evaluation (private)	Non-binding	udicial arbitration (discovery until 30 days before trial)	
Other (specify e.g., private mini-trial, private judge, etc.	3.):			
_				
It is also stipulated that the following shall serve as arbitrator, n	nediator or other net	itrai: (Name)		
Alternate neutral (for court Civil Mediation Program and arbitral	tion only):			
. Alternate neutral (for court civil mediation Program and around	uon ony,			
Date:	розима	Date:		
			•	
Name of Plaintiff	nanaes	Name of Defenda	nt .	
Name of Francis		1101110 01 0101100	•••	
	000004			
Signature		Signature		
Name of Disintiffs Attennous	nonone	Name of Defenda	nt'e Attornev	
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney		THURSE OF LICERIUM	m v money	
Signature	PARAMETER STATE OF THE STATE OF	Signature		
If there are more parties and/or attorneys, please attach additio	nal completed and fo		ts,	
It is the duty of the parties to notify the court of any settlement of the court will place this matter on a 45-day dismissal calendar.	*	-		
No new parties may be added without leave of court.				
IT IS SO ORDERED.				
Dated: 04/04/2013		JUI	DGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION

CASE NUMBER: 37-2013-00042517-CU-BT-CTL CASE TITLE:

Pettersen vs. Playphone Inc

NOTICE: All plaintiffs/cross-complainants in a general civil case are required to serve a copy of the following three forms on each defendant/cross-defendant, together with the complaint/cross-complaint:

- (1) this Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information form (SDSC form #CIV-730),
- (2) the Stipulation to Use Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) form (SDSC form #CIV-359), and
- (3) the Notice of Case Assignment form (SDSC form #CIV-721).

Most civil disputes are resolved without filing a lawsuit, and most civil lawsuits are resolved without a trial. The courts, community organizations, and private providers offer a variety of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. The San Diego Superior Court expects that litigants will utilize some form of ADR as a mechanism for case settlement before trial, and it may be beneficial to do this early in the case.

Below is some information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR, the most common types of ADR, and how to find a local ADR program or neutral. A form for agreeing to use ADR is attached (SDSC form #CIV-359).

Potential Advantages and Disadvantages of ADR

ADR may have a variety of advantages or disadvantages over a trial, depending on the type of ADR process used and the particular case:

Potential Advantages

- · Saves time
- · Saves money
- Gives parties more control over the dispute resolution process and outcome
- Preserves or improves relationships

Potential Disadvantages

- May take more time and money if ADR does not resolve the dispute
- Procedures to learn about the other side's case (discovery), jury trial, appeal, and other court protections may be limited or unavailable

Most Common Types of ADR

You can read more information about these ADR processes and watch videos that demonstrate them on the court's ADR webpage at http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr.

Mediation: A neutral person called a "mediator" helps the parties communicate in an effective and constructive manner so they can try to settle their dispute. The mediator does not decide the outcome, but helps the parties to do so. Mediation is usually confidential, and may be particularly useful when parties want or need to have an ongoing relationship, such as in disputes between family members, neighbors, co-workers, or business partners, or when parties want to discuss non-legal concerns or creative resolutions that could not be ordered at a trial.

Settlement Conference: A judge or another neutral person called a "settlement officer" helps the parties to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their case and to discuss settlement. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but helps the parties to negotiate a settlement. Settlement conferences may be particularly helpful when the parties have very different ideas about the likely outcome of a trial and would like an experienced neutral to help guide them toward a resolution.

Arbitration: A neutral person called an "arbitrator" considers arguments and evidence presented by each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are usually relaxed. If the parties agree to binding arbitration, they waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. With nonbinding arbitration, any party may reject the arbitrator's decision and request a trial. Arbitration may be appropriate when the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial.

Other ADR Processes: There are several other types of ADR which are not offered through the court but which may be obtained privately, including neutral evaluation, conciliation, fact finding, mini-trials, and summary jury trials. Sometimes parties will try a combination of ADR processes. The important thing is to try to find the type or types of ADR that are most likely to resolve your dispute. Be sure to learn about the rules of any ADR program and the qualifications of any neutral you are considering, and about their fees.

Local ADR Programs for Civil Cases

Mediation: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a Civil Mediation Panel of approved mediators who have met certain minimum qualifications and have agreed to charge \$150 per hour for each of the first two (2) hours of mediation and their regular hourly rate thereafter in court-referred mediations.

On-line mediator search and selection: Go to the court's ADR webpage at www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr and click on the "Mediator Search" to review individual mediator profiles containing detailed information about each mediator including their dispute resolution training, relevant experience, ADR specialty, education and employment history, mediation style, and fees and to submit an on-line Mediator Selection Form (SDSC form #CIV-005). The Civil Mediation Panel List, the Available Mediator List, individual Mediator Profiles, and Mediator Selection Form (CIV-005) can also be printed from the court's ADR webpage and are available at the Mediation Program Office or Civil Business Office at each court location.

Settlement Conference: The judge may order your case to a mandatory settlement conference, or voluntary settlement conferences may be requested from the court if the parties certify that: (1) settlement negotiations between the parties have been pursued, demands and offers have been tendered in good faith, and resolution has failed; (2) a judicially supervised settlement conference presents a substantial opportunity for settlement; and (3) the case has developed to a point where all parties are legally and factually prepared to present the issues for settlement consideration and further discovery for settlement purposes is not required. Refer to SDSC Local Rule 2.2.1 for more information. To schedule a settlement conference, contact the department to which your case is assigned.

Arbitration: The San Diego Superior Court maintains a panel of approved judicial arbitrators who have practiced law for a minimum of five years and who have a certain amount of trial and/or arbitration experience. Refer to SDSC Local Rules <u>Division II. Chapter III</u> and Code Civ. Proc. § 1141.10 et seq or contact the Arbitration Program Office at (619) 450-7300 for more information.

More information about court-connected ADR: Visit the court's ADR webpage at www.sdcourt.ca.gov/adr or contact the court's Mediation/Arbitration Office at (619) 450-7300.

Dispute Resolution Programs Act (DRPA) funded ADR Programs: The following community dispute resolution programs are funded under DRPA (Bus. and Prof. Code §§ 465 et seq.):

- In Central, East, and South San Diego County, contact the National Conflict Resolution Center (NCRC) at <u>www.ncrconline.com</u> or (619) 238-2400.
- In North San Diego County, contact North County Lifeline, Inc. at www.nclifeline.org or (760) 726-4900.

Private ADR: To find a private ADR program or neutral, search the Internet, your local telephone or business directory, or legal newspaper for dispute resolution, mediation, settlement, or arbitration services.

Legal Representation and Advice

To participate effectively in ADR, it is generally important to understand your legal rights and responsibilities and the likely outcomes if you went to trial. ADR neutrals are not allowed to represent or to give legal advice to the participants in the ADR process. If you do not already have an attorney, the California State Bar or your local County Bar Association can assist you in finding an attorney. Information about obtaining free and low cost legal assistance is also available on the California courts website at www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/lowcost.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

STREET ADDRESS:

330 Wast Broadway

MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway

BRANCH NAME:

Central

TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-7075

PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S):

CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101

William D Pettersen

DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): Playphone Inc et.al.

PETTERSEN VS. PLAYPHONE INC

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT AND CASE MANAGEMENT

CONFERENCE on MANDATORY eFILE CASE

CASE NUMBER:

37-2013-00042517-CU-BT-CTL

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Judge: Richard E. L. Strauss

Department: C-75

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 04/03/2013

TYPE OF HEARING SCHEDULED

DATE

TIME

DEPT

JUDGE

Civil Case Management Conference

09/20/2013

10:00 am

C-75

Richard E. L. Strauss

A case management statement must be completed by counsel for all parties or self-represented litigants and timely filed with the court at least 15 days prior to the initial case management conference. (San Diego Local Rules, Division II, CRC Rule 3.725).

All counsel of record or parties in pro per shall appear at the Case Management Conference, be familiar with the case, and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the hearing, including discussions of ADR* options.

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT), THE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION FORM (SDSC FORM #CIV-730), A STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) (SDSC FORM #CIV-359), AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS SET OUT IN SDSC LOCAL RULE 2.1.5.

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

- TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil cases consist of all civil cases except: small claims proceedings, civil petitions, unlawful detainer proceedings, probate, guardianship, conservatorship, juvenile, parking citation appeals, and family law proceedings.
- COMPLAINTS: Complaints and all other documents listed in SDSC Local Rule 2.1.5 must be served on all named defendants, and a Certificate of Service (SDSC form #CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing.
- DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaint. (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.) (SDSC Local Rule 2.1.6)
- JURY FEES: In order to preserve the right to a jury trial, each party demanding a jury trial shall pay an advance jury fee in the amount of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) for each party on or before the date scheduled for the initial case management conference in the action.
- MANDATORY eFILE: Case assigned to mandatory eFile program per CRC 3.400-3.403 and SDSC Rule 2.4.11. All documents must be eFiled at www.onelegal.com. Refer to General Order 010313 at www.sdcourt.ca.gov for guidelines and procedures.
- *ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR): THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO TRIAL, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. PARTIES MAY FILE THE ATTACHED STIPULATION TO USE ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (SDSC FORM #CIV-359).

Superior Court of California County of San Diego

NOTICE OF ELIGIBILITY TO eFILE AND ASSIGNMENT TO IMAGING DEPARTMENT

This case is eligible for eFiling. Should you prefer to electronically file documents, refer to General Order 010313 at www.sdcourt.ca.gov for rules and procedures or contact the Court's eFiling vendor at www.onelegal.com for information.

This case has been assigned to an Imaging Department and original documents attached to pleadings filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed. Original documents should not be filed with pleadings. If necessary, they should be lodged with the court under California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

On August 1, 2011 the San Diego Superior Court began the Electronic Filing and Imaging Pilot Program ("Program"). As of August 1, 2011 in all new cases assigned to an Imaging Department all filings will be imaged electronically and the electronic version of the document will be the official court file. The official court file will be electronic and accessible at one of the kiosks located in the Civil Business Office and on the Internet through the court's website.

You should be aware that the electronic copy of the filed document(s) will be the official court record pursuant to Government Code section 68150. The paper filing will be imaged and held for 30 days. After that time it will be destroyed and recycled. Thus, you should not attach any original documents to pleadings filed with the San Diego Superior Court. Original documents filed with the court will be imaged and destroyed except those documents specified in California Rules of Court, rule 3.1806. Any original documents necessary for a motion hearing or trial shall be lodged in advance of the hearing pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1302(b).

It is the duty of each plaintiff, cross-complainant or petitioner to serve a copy of this notice with the complaint, cross-complaint or petition on all parties in the action.

On all pleadings filed after the initial case originating filing, all parties must, to the extent it is feasible to do so, place the words "IMAGED FILE" in all caps immediately under the title of the pleading on all subsequent pleadings filed in the action.

Please refer to the General Order - Imaging located on the San Diego Superior Court website at:

http://www.sdcourt.ca.gov/CivillmagingGeneralOrder